

# S J SCANNELL & CO

# NEWSLETTER - SEPTEMBER / NOVEMBER 2015

## **INSIDE THIS EDITION**

Residential Land Transactions	1
Passing away without a Will – what happens to yo Estate?	
Child support	2
Changes to the Companies Act 1993	3
Cyber Bullying - on its way out?	4
Magna Carta – 800 years on	4
Snippets	5
Building contracts & retention sums	5

Building your new home – why include a sunset	
clause?	5

All information in this newsletter is to the best of the authors' knowledge true and accurate. No liability is assumed by the authors, or publishers, for any losses suffered by any person relying directly or indirectly upon this newsletter. It is recommended that clients should consult Simon Scannell before acting upon this information.

> Simon Scannell S J Scannell & Co 122 Queen Street East, Hastings 4122 New Zealand

office@scannelllaw.co.nz www.scannelllaw.co.nz

Phone: 06 8766699 Fax: 06 8764114 Mobile: 021 439 567

If you have any questions about the newsletter items, please contact me, I am here to help.

### **Residential Land Transactions**

New legislation is in the process of being passed which will require –

- Buyers and sellers (including trusts and companies) of residential property to provide their IRD number and other details when transferring property;
- Those with tax residency in another jurisdiction to also provide the equivalent of their IRD number in that country;
- Offshore persons to provide evidence of a New Zealand bank account in order to obtain an IRD number.

These requirements will apply to all residential land transactions by individuals, trusts or companies.

If you, your trust or company do not have a current IRD number and you are contemplating a sale or purchase of residential land you should obtain one. No transfer of residential land will be able to be registered without IRD numbers being provided.

The Inland Revenue Department advise it can take at least 10 working days to issue an IRD number.

# Passing away without a Will – what happens to your Estate?

When a person passes away without a Will, the Administration Act 1969 (Act) sets out how the Estate of the deceased will be distributed.

Firstly, the family (or other potential representatives) need to determine the value of the assets in the Estate. Where the value is less than \$15,000 the process is more straight forward, and letters of administration are not required. For Estates worth more than \$15,000, letters of administration will need to be obtained.



The Act sets out the process for applying for letters of administration including who may apply, who the eventual beneficiaries will be, and what share of the Estate they will receive.

Where the deceased leaves behind a surviving spouse, civil union partner or de facto partner, this person is entitled to a grant of letters of administration. If there is no surviving partner or spouse, the deceased's children may apply, or, failing children, a grandchild may apply. The Act contains further provisions for circumstances where someone else has to apply.

Once an administrator is appointed by the High Court, that person then has authority to deal with Estate assets, and those assets are then called in. For example, real estate or shares can be sold, and funds in bank accounts in the name of the deceased can be withdrawn so that all liquidated Estate assets are held in the same account in anticipation of distribution. The Administrator is then tasked to ensure that the Estate is distributed in accordance with the Act. Section 77 of the Act provides an exhaustive list that determines who the beneficiaries of the Estate are, and what they are to receive. For example, if the deceased leaves behind a surviving spouse and children, the Estate is divided as follows:

- Any jointly owned property (including jointly owned family homes and bank accounts) will pass to the surviving joint owner regardless of the provisions of the Act,
- All personal chattels will pass to the surviving partner,

# Child support

The Child Support Scheme is managed by the Inland Revenue Department of the New Zealand Government (IRD) and operates under the Child Support Act 1991 (the Act).



The Child Support Scheme (Child Support) is financial support paid by parents who either do not live with their children or who share the care of their children with another person.

The Act provides a formula to work out the amount of child support payable. In a nutshell,

it uses the adjusted taxable income of both parents and subtracts standard amounts for personal living costs and the parent's other children, while taking into account the care each parent provides for the children and the costs of raising them. A care cost percentage is then determined by the IRD.

- Anything then left over is split into thirds. One third of that remainder is for the surviving partner, and
- Two thirds of the remainder is for the children.

Depending on who does or does not survive the deceased, the beneficiaries of the estate could also include siblings, parents, grandparents, aunts or uncles. Where none of these classes of beneficiaries exist, the Estate vests in the Crown. The Crown has a discretion under the Act to provide for dependants of the deceased, and persons for whom the deceased might reasonably have been expected to make provision.

These guidelines show the importance of executing a Will where the provisions of the Act do not reflect your wishes. For example, you may wish to make direct provision for nieces and nephews who are not explicitly provided for in the Act, or apportionment of assets under the Act may not be in accordance with your wishes. If you have any concerns you should speak with your lawyer.

Child support is not mandatory for parents or carers who do not receive a sole parent or unsupported child benefit from Work and Income New Zealand, as those parents or carers can make arrangements between themselves. However, if an arrangement cannot be agreed, those parents and carers can seek the assistance of the IRD to determine the amount of child support payable.

On 1 April 2015 the first set of major changes were introduced to the 20 year old formula used to calculate child support. We summarise the major changes as follows:

- Both parents now receive assessments that take into account both of their respective incomes and it provides allowances for any other children of their own who live with them (taking into account the age of those children and the defined current cost of raising children in New Zealand).
- The parent's assessments no longer provide allowances for partners or children living with that parent who are not their own.

• The threshold of the amount of care recognised by the IRD has been reduced. Previously the child support formula only took into account if a parent cared for a child 40% of the time; however, this has now been reduced to 28% of the time or 103 nights or more a year, or two nights a week.

From 1 April 2016, further changes will be incorporated. We summarise the major changes as follows:

- The qualifying age for children eligible for child support will reduce from under 19 years to under 18 years, unless the 18 year old child is still enrolled in and attending school.
- Changes to penalties and write off rules for child support. These include: replacing the current 10% initial late payment penalty with a two stage late penalty payment of 2% on the day after due date

## **Changes to the Companies Act 1993**

Company directors should be aware of the changes to the Companies Act 1993 (the Act) that became law on 24 June 2014. Some changes took immediate effect while others will be implemented later this year. This article focuses on two key changes: new criminal offences and registration of companies.

#### New criminal offences

One change, now in force, was the creation of new criminal offences for serious breaches of directors' duties. It is now an offence where:

- A director acts in bad faith and not in the best interests of the company and knows that this will cause serious loss to the company (section 138A of the Act),
- A director dishonestly incurs debt for the company when the company is insolvent, or the director knew the company would become insolvent (section 380 of the Act).

These changes were introduced following the widescale collapse of finance companies between 2006 and 2012. The collapse of these companies left many 'mum and dad



investors' stripped of their nest eggs. There was concern following the collapse of these companies that it was sometimes not possible to take action against directors for their reckless or dishonest conduct (although many were prosecuted under provisions in the Securities Act, Financial Reporting Act and the Crimes Act). In introducing the new offences, the Government sought to balance the effect of potential criminal liability deterring people from becoming directors or taking business risk, and a further 8% eight days after the due date, reduction in incremental penalty charges, and relaxing the rules pertaining to writing off penalties and interest in particular events.

• Introducing two further grounds for administrative review of IRD decisions to the current 10 grounds, which take into account re-establishment costs and debt offsetting.

It is estimated that of the approximate 137,000 parents paying child support, 33,000 will have their amount increased under the new changes and a further 46,000 will pay less, with 58,000 being unaffected. If you are unsure as to how these changes may impact on you, we suggest that you contact your lawyer to discuss your situation in detail.

against the need to deter dishonesty and prevent the substantial harm resulting from breaches of directors' duties.

The new offences require that the mental elements of dishonesty, bad faith, knowledge and belief must be proved beyond reasonable doubt. These are high thresholds, and should put honest directors at ease.

#### **Registration of companies**

Other changes to the Act relate to the registration of companies. For companies that were formed before 1 May 2015 (existing companies), these changes are being introduced in a staggered way.

Since 1 July 2015 existing companies have been required to provide the Registrar of Companies the dates and places of birth of all directors and details of any Ultimate Holding Company (if applicable). The personal details of directors will not be publicly available, however details of any Ultimate Holding Company will be publicly available.

From 28 October 2015, existing companies will need to ensure they have at least one director that either lives in New Zealand, or who lives in Australia and is also the director of a company incorporated in Australia. Details of that Australian company must be provided to the Registrar (which includes ACN, name and registered office address). This requirement already applies for all newly incorporated companies.

All this information will be required in order to file an annual return. Failure to file an annual return will result in steps being taken to remove the company from the register.

These changes have been made in an attempt to protect New Zealand's international reputation, by

#### September 2015 - November 2015

seeking to reduce the misuse of the New Zealand company registration process by overseas individuals and groups, who have used companies incorporated in New Zealand to facilitate crime.

Other changes include enhancing the powers of the Registrar of Companies and changes to the provisions about changing control of companies, to align the Act with the provisions of the Takeovers Code.

### Cyber Bullying - on its way out?

The Harmful Digital Communications Act 2015 (the Act) became law on 2 July 2015. Sections 22 - 25 and Part 2 apply immediately, with the balance of the Act taking effect on a date to be determined, but no later than 2 July 2017.

# Taking immediate effect – criminal liability

It is an offence to post a digital communication that causes harm to a victim, if the poster intended to cause harm, and if an ordinary reasonable person in the victim's shoes would have been harmed by that post. A conviction

can see individuals receive up to two years in prison or a fine of up to \$50,000, while companies can be fined up to \$200,000.

The Act provides limited protection from liability to websites (such as Facebook) that could host harmful content, provided the content was posted by a user, not by the host itself. To gain this protection the host must strictly comply with the procedures in the Act. For example, they must make it easy for a user to make a complaint. They must also attempt to forward a complaint to the author of the post, and unless the author objects, the post must be removed within 48 hours of the complaint (in some circumstances up to 96 hours). It is not an offence to ignore this procedure; the host simply loses statutory protection.

Part 2 of the Act amends other laws, including making it a criminal offence to motivate another person to commit suicide, and extending the meaning of harassment (in the Harassment Act 1997) to include situations where offensive material is posted online and remains there for an extended period.



For more information about how these changes might affect you, contact your lawyer for advice.

# Taking effect at a later date – civil remedies

The balance of the Act, not yet in force, contains two additional remedies for victims. Firstly, the Act puts in place a statutory body to assist with and investigate complaints, and if necessary, negotiate with website hosts to remove harmful posts. Again, it is not an offence for an individual or a host to ignore complaints or the statutory body.

Secondly, if the statutory body cannot negotiate the removal of a post or has not deterred a poster from repeat action the

District Court can order that specific content be removed, that conduct be stopped, or that a correction, apology or right of reply be published. These orders can be made against the original poster as well as the website host. If necessary, the Court can order that the identity of an anonymous author be revealed to the Court. These remedies are in addition and separate to the criminal offences discussed above. Failure to comply with an order can see individuals receive up to six months in prison or a fine of up to \$5,000, while companies can be fined up to \$20,000.

Commentators recognise that the Act addresses an important and real need – protecting the vulnerable and limiting harmful behaviour. Opponents have criticised the Act as being too wide, leaving it open to abuse. Ignoring a complaint, however frivolous, means a website host loses protection from liability. It is feared that some hosts might therefore remove all content subject to complaints simply because it is easier or safer for them to do so. Critics fear illegitimate complaints could be used to unfairly target a person, publication or field of discussion, increasing compliance costs for hosts and limiting freedom of speech. Of course, time will tell how this plays out.

### Magna Carta – 800 years on

On 15 June 1215, King John of England gave into the demands of a group of powerful and disgruntled barons and fixed his seal to a document that would

later become known as Magna Carta, or "the Great Charter".

#### September 2015 – November 2015

The Charter was essentially a peace treaty between the King and his barons at the time; the need for which had arisen because of what the barons perceived to be abuses of power by the King that had ran roughshod over their own feudal rights. Since the death of his brother Richard the Lionheart some 15 years previously, King John had lost control of Normandy to the French and had heavily taxed the church and his barons in order to fund a war to recover it. When those attempts eventually failed at the battle of Bouvines in 1214 the barons had had enough and demanded a charter to protect their liberties.

As a result, Magna Carta was a reactionary rather than revolutionary document at the time. Hurriedly written in continuous text, it is identified as having a preamble and some 63 clauses that were intended to address the barons' concerns in return for them swearing renewed oaths of fealty to the King. The grievances in question were very specific feudal concerns of the day, and so many of the King's promises lost relevance over time and the clauses came to be repealed. What these clauses had collectively shown though was that there were laws that even the King was bound to observe and as a result Magna Carta became an early symbol of liberty against oppression.

Magna Carta strongly influenced the creation of the United States Constitution. It also remained strongly influential in England where one of the original clauses (cl 39), which provided the right for trial by

### Snippets

#### **Building contracts & retention sums**

Many building contracts will be drafted with progress payments falling due throughout the building process and with the final payment being due on "practical completion". Practical completion is usually when the



job is mostly completed, except for minor cosmetic works, and before the Council issues their Code Compliance Certificate (CCC). A CCC confirms the works have been completed in accordance with the building consent.

To protect your position as owner, we recommend at a minimum that the contract is checked and amended in two ways. Firstly, the progress payments should only be enough to cover the work that had been completed up to the date of that payment. Secondly, the final payment should not be paid until after the Council has issued their CCC. This is because the final inspection can determine that more work is required before the CCC is provided. If your builder has already been paid in full, they can be reluctant (or slow) in completing that final work for you. jury, was included in the Petition of Right in 1628. This particular clause (renumbered cl 29 in a subsequent version of Magna Carta reissued by King Edward I) is also still part of New Zealand's law today and states that "*No freeman shall be taken or imprisoned* … *but by lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.*"

In immediate terms, the Charter can be seen as a failure in that it did not result in the peace that was hoped for. King John and the barons reneged upon their commitments almost immediately and the Charter was annulled by the Pope. This situation caused civil war to break out the same year and ultimately led to the death of King John the following year in 1216. After John's death the rebellious barons were eventually defeated and Magna Carta was reissued by John's son, King Henry III, on a number of occasions throughout his reign and then by his successor King Edward I in 1297.

June this year marked the Great Charter's 800th anniversary and has led many politicians, historians and lawyers from common law countries around the world to once more acknowledge Magna Carta's impact and commemorate its place as a cornerstone document in the development of the rule of law. Its enduring legacy in western democracies remains clear – that there are limits to the powers of those who rule.

# Building your new home – why include a sunset clause?

When building a new home, there are several important steps in the process that have potential to

delay final completion date. In some circumstances for example you may be waiting for a subdivision and new title to issue, or there may be an issue with the build that



delays or prevents the issue of the Code Compliance Certificate (CCC).

Delays do not automatically give you a right to cancel a contract. It is important therefore to protect your position in the event of unforeseen delays.

A "sunset clause" sets a date by which something must happen - this may be issue of the certificate of title for the property or the CCC. Where the date set down passes and the title or CCC hasn't been issued, you can cancel the agreement and avoid being locked into an agreement indefinitely.